

REMARKS

The above amendment with the following remarks is submitted to be fully responsive to the Office Action of March 22, 2007. Reconsideration of this application in light of the amendment and the allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-13 were pending in the present application prior to the above amendment. In response to the Office Action, claims 1, 8, 9 and 13 have been amended. Therefore, claims 1, 2, and 4-13 are still pending in the present application and are believed to be in proper condition for allowance.

Initially, the Applicants acknowledge with appreciation, the Examiner's granting of a telephonic interview with the undersigned Applicants' representative on July 18, 2007. During the interview, the Office Action, and the prior art reference applied therein, were discussed in detail, as well as various possible amendments to the claims of the application. Amendments to the independent claims and comments herein below are submitted in view of the discussions during the telephonic interview.

Referring now to the Office Action, claims 4-5 and 10-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, the Examiner stating that these claims have been amended to recite a higher target pressure band whereas the original disclosure materials only discloses differences in target pressures and not target pressure bands. In response thereto, the Examiners attention is directed to the Figure and Page 4, last paragraph of the Specification of the present application which teaches that the "target pressure is indicated by the narrow solid band." Correspondingly, as used in the application, the target pressure refers to a narrow band, for example, as shown in the Figure. Correspondingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that the original disclosure teaches the 8% to 10% difference in the target pressures that are specifically recited in these rejected claims. Correspondingly, the withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.